THE RATE OF CONVERGENCE OF POSITIVE LINEAR OPERATORS IN WEIGHTED SPACES

ABSTRACT. We estimate the rate of approximation of positive linear operators for unbounded functions defined on the positive semi-axis, in terms of the modulus of continuity of the first order and the rate of convergence of the function toward infinity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ and let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be an unbounded strictly increasing continuous function with $\varphi(0) = 0$ and with the property that φ^{-1} is uniformly continuous. Let $\rho(x) = 1 + \varphi^2(x)$ be a weight function and let $B_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be the Banach space defined by

 $B_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}_{+}) = \{ f : \mathbb{R}_{+} \to \mathbb{R} \mid \text{ there exists } M > 0 \text{ such that } |f(x)| \le M \cdot \rho(x), \text{ for all } x \ge 0 \}.$

This weighted space can be endowed with the ρ -norm

$$||f||_{\rho} = \sup_{x \ge 0} \frac{|f(x)|}{\rho(x)}.$$

We define also the subspaces

$$C_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}_{+}) = \{ f \in B_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}_{+}), f \text{ is continuous} \},\$$

$$C_{\rho}^{k}(\mathbb{R}_{+}) = \left\{ f \in C_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}_{+}), \lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} = K_{f} < +\infty \right\}.$$

In [1] is given the following Korovkin-type theorem

Theorem 1.1. If $A_n : C_\rho(\mathbb{R}) \to B_\rho(\mathbb{R})$ is a sequence of positive linear operators such that

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \left\| A_n \varphi^i - \varphi^i \right\|_{\rho} = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2,$$

then for any function $f \in C^k_{\rho}(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|A_n f - f\|_{\rho} = 0.$$

In [2] it is given an estimation of the rate of convergence for positive linear operators of the following type

$$B_n f(x) = \begin{cases} A_n f(x), & x \le \eta_n \\ f(x), & x > \eta_n; \end{cases}$$

where $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of positive linear operators acting from $C_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ to $B_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $(\eta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence converging to infinity when n approaches infinity. The result is the following

Date: November 6, 2008.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 41A25, 41A36.

Key words and phrases. weighted spaces, rate of approximation, positive linear operators.

Theorem 1.2. Let $A_n: C_\rho(\mathbb{R}_+) \to B_\rho(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be a sequence of positive linear operators with

$$\begin{split} \left\| A_n \varphi^0 - \varphi^0 \right\|_{\rho^0} &= a_n, \\ \left\| A_n \varphi - \varphi \right\|_{\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}} &= b_n, \\ \left\| A_n \varphi^2 - \varphi^2 \right\|_{\rho} &= c_n, \\ \left\| A_n \varphi^3 - \varphi^3 \right\|_{\rho^{\frac{3}{2}}} &= d_n, \end{split}$$

where a_n, b_n, c_n and d_n tend to zero as n goes to the infinity. Let η_n be a sequence of real numbers such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \eta_n = \infty \quad and \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta_n) \delta_n = 0,$$

where $\delta_n = 2\sqrt{(a_n + 2b_n + c_n)(1 + a_n)} + a_n + 3b_n + 3c_n + d_n$. Then for every $f \in C_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}_+)$

$$\sup_{0 \le x \le \eta_n} \frac{|A_n f(x) - f(x)|}{\rho(x)} \le (7 + 4a_n + 2c_n) \cdot \omega_{\varphi} \left(f, \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta_n) \delta_n \right) + \|f\|_{\rho} a_n,$$

where ω_{φ} is defined for $f \in C_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\delta \ge 0$ by

$$\omega_{\varphi}(f,\delta) = \sup_{\substack{x,y \ge 0\\ |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \le \delta}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{\rho(x) + \rho(y)}.$$

In the same paper it is proved that if φ satisfies also the condition

 $|x-y| \le M |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|^{\alpha}$, for every $x, y \ge 0$,

where M > 0 and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, then the right-hand side of the estimation from the above theorem tends to 0.

In the present paper, we want to give an estimation of the rate of convergence of $A_n f$ toward f in the general case of any sequence of positive linear operators $A_n : C_\rho(\mathbb{R}_+) \to B_\rho(\mathbb{R}_+)$. For this, we need the following modulus of continuity

$$\omega_{\varphi}(f,\delta) = \sup_{\substack{x,t \ge 0\\ |\varphi(x) - \varphi(t)| \le \delta}} |f(x) - f(t)|,$$

defined for every bounded function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ and every $\delta \ge 0$. This modulus is an nonnegative, increasing, bounded function in δ and has the following properties

Proposition 1.1. For every bounded and uniformly continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \omega_{\varphi}(f, \delta_n) = 0 \text{ whenever } \delta_n \to 0.$$

Proof This property is true due to the property of φ^{-1} to be uniformly continuous and due to the following representation

$$\omega_{\varphi}(f,\delta) = \omega(f \circ \varphi^{-1}, \delta).$$

Indeed, $f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is a bounded uniformly continuous function and the usual modulus of continuity ω is continuous in 0 for such a function.

Remark 1.1. If $\varphi(x) = x$, then ω_{φ} reduces to the usual modulus of continuity. If φ^{-1} is a Holder function, i.e. there exist M > 0 and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ such that $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ has the property

$$|x-y| \leq M |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|^{\alpha}$$
, for every $x, y \geq 0$.

then we have the relation

$$\omega_{\varphi}(f,\delta) \le \omega(f,M\delta^{\alpha}).$$

Proposition 1.2. For every bounded function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ and for every $t, x, \delta \ge 0$ we have

$$|f(t) - f(x)| \le \left(1 + \frac{[\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)]^2}{\delta^2}\right) \omega_{\varphi}(f, \delta)$$

Proof We prove first, that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\omega_{\varphi}(f, m\delta) \le m \cdot \omega_{\varphi}(f, \delta).$$

For m = 0 and m = 1 it holds with equality. For $m \ge 2$, let $t > x \ge 0$ such that we have $\varphi(t) - \varphi(x) \le m\delta$. We construct the points $x_0 = x < x_1 < \cdots < x_m = t$ with the property

$$\varphi(x_k - \varphi(x_{k-1})) = \frac{\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)}{m} \le \delta.$$

We obtain

$$|f(t) - f(x)| \le \sum_{k=1}^{m} |f(x_k - f(x_{k-1}))| \le \sum_{k=1}^{m} \omega_{\varphi}(f, \delta) \le m \cdot \omega_{\varphi}(f, \delta).$$

This proves the relation $\omega_{\varphi}(f, m\delta) \leq m \cdot \omega_{\varphi}(f, \delta)$. Considering $\lambda > 0$ we obtain

$$\omega_{\varphi}(f,\lambda\delta) \le \omega_{\varphi}(f,([\lambda]+1)\delta) \le ([\lambda]+1)\omega_{\varphi}(f,\delta) \le (\lambda+1)\omega_{\varphi}(f,\delta).$$

Now, we can prove the relation from the proposition. Let $t, x \ge 0$. We have

$$|f(t) - f(x)| \le \omega_{\varphi}(f, |\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)|).$$

If
$$|\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)| \leq \delta$$
, then $|f(t) - f(x)| \leq \omega_{\varphi}(f, \delta)$. If $|\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)| \geq \delta$, then

$$\omega_{\varphi}(f, |\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)|) = \omega_{\varphi} \left(f, \frac{|\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)|}{\delta} \delta \right) \leq \left(1 + \frac{|\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)|}{\delta} \right) \omega_{\varphi}(f, \delta)$$

$$\leq \left(1 + \frac{[\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)]^2}{\delta^2} \right) \omega_{\varphi}(f, \delta). \quad \Box$$

2. Main part

Theorem 2.1. Let $A_n : C_\rho(\mathbb{R}_+) \to B_\rho(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be a sequence of positive linear operators such that

$$\begin{split} \left\| A_n \varphi^0 - \varphi^0 \right\|_{\rho^0} &= a_n, \\ \left\| A_n \varphi - \varphi \right\|_{\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}} &= b_n, \\ \left\| A_n \varphi^2 - \varphi^2 \right\|_{\rho} &= c_n, \end{split}$$

where a_n, b_n, c_n tend to zero as n goes to the infinity. Let $\delta_n = \sqrt{a_n + 2b_n + c_n}$ and let η_n be a sequence of real numbers such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \eta_n = \infty \quad and \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta_n)\delta_n = 0.$$

Then for any function $f \in C^k_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \|A_n f - f\|_{\rho} &\leq K_f(a_n + c_n) + (\|f\|_{\rho} + K_f)[\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta_n)\delta_n\sqrt{1 + a_n} + a_n + \delta_n\sqrt{\delta_n^2 + 4}] \\ &+ (2 + a_n)\,\omega_{\varphi}\left(\frac{f}{\rho}, \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta_n)\delta_n\right) + 2r_n(3 + 2a_n + 2c_n), \end{aligned}$$

$$where K_f = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} and r_n = \sup_{x \ge \eta_n} \left|\frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} - K_f\right|. \end{aligned}$$

Proof Let $f(x) = g(x) + K_f \cdot \rho(x)$. We have $\lim_{x \to \infty} g(x) / \rho(x) = 0$ and (2.2) $|A_n f(x) - f(x)| \le |A_n g(x) - g(x)| + K_f \cdot |A_n \rho(x) - \rho(x)|.$

We have, also,

$$r_n = \sup_{x \ge \eta_n} \left| \frac{f(x)}{\rho(x)} - K_f \right| = \sup_{x \ge \eta_n} \frac{|g(x)|}{\rho(x)}$$

Let $M_n = \max_{x \leq \eta_n} |g(x)|$. We consider also the sequences $t_n = ||g||_{\rho} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta_n) \delta_n (1+a_n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} > 0$ converging to 0 and

$$z_n = \max\left(\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(\eta_n) + 1), \rho^{-1}(\|g\|_{\rho} \rho(\eta_n)/t_n)\right) > \eta_n.$$

It is easy to see that $\varphi(z_n) - \varphi(\eta_n) \ge 1$ and

$$M_n = \max_{x \le \eta_n} |g(x)| \le \max_{x \le \eta_n} ||g||_{\rho} \rho(x) = ||g||_{\rho} \rho(\eta_n) \le t_n \rho(z_n)$$

We consider now the functions $h_n \in C_\rho(\mathbb{R}_+)$ defined by

$$h_n(x) = \begin{cases} g(x), & x \le \eta_n, \\ \frac{\varphi(z_n) - \varphi(x)}{\varphi(z_n) - \varphi(\eta_n)} g(\eta_n), & x \in (\eta_n, z_n), \\ 0, & x \ge z_n. \end{cases}$$

Let us prove that

(2.3)
$$|h_n(x) - g(x)| \le 2r_n \rho(x), \quad x \ge 0$$

Indeed, if $x \leq \eta_n$ then the difference $h_n(x) - g(x)$ is 0. If $x \geq \eta_n$, then $|g(x)| \leq r_n \rho(x)$. For $x \in [\eta_n, z_n]$ we have

$$|h_n(x) - g(x)| \le |g(x)| + \frac{\varphi(z_n) - \varphi(x)}{\varphi(z_n) - \varphi(\eta_n)} |g(\eta_n)| \le r_n \rho(x) + r_n \rho(\eta_n) \le 2r_n \rho(x).$$

For $x \ge z_n$, the difference $|h_n(x) - g(x)|$ is just |g(x)| so less than $2r_n\rho(x)$. Using relation (2.3) we obtain

$$(2.4) |A_ng(x) - g(x)| \leq A_n(|g(t) - h_n(t)|, x) + |A_nh_n(x) - h_n(x)| + |h_n(x) - g(x)| \\ \leq 2r_n(A_n\rho(x) + \rho(x)) + |A_nh_n(x) - h_n(x)|.$$

Next, we give the estimation of the difference $|A_nh_n(x) - h_n(x)|$. For $x \ge z_n$ (2.5) $|A_nh_n(x) - h_n(x)| = |A_nh_n(x)| \le A_n|h_n|(x) \le M_nA_n\varphi^0(x) \le t_n\rho(z_n)A_n\varphi^0(x)$ $\le t_n\rho(x)A_n\varphi^0(x).$

For $x \leq \eta_n$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} |A_n h_n(x) - h_n(x)| &\leq |h_n(x)| |A_n \varphi^0(x) - \varphi^0(x)| + A_n(|h_n(t) - h_n(x)|, x) \\ (2.6) &\leq |g(x)| |A_n \varphi^0(x) - \varphi^0(x)| + A_n(|h_n(t) - g(t)|, x) + A_n(|g(t) - g(x)|, x) \\ &\leq ||g||_{\rho} \rho(x) |A_n \varphi^0(x) - \varphi^0(x)| + 2r_n A_n \rho(x) + A_n(|g(t) - g(x)|, x) \end{aligned}$$

Using the relation

$$|g(t) - g(x)| \leq \frac{|g(t)|}{\rho(t)} |\rho(t) - \rho(x)| + \rho(x) \left| \frac{g(t)}{\rho(t)} - \frac{g(x)}{\rho(x)} \right|$$

$$(2.7) \leq ||g||_{\rho} |\rho(t) - \rho(x)| + \rho(x) \left| \frac{g}{\rho}(t) - \frac{g}{\rho}(x) \right|$$

$$\leq ||g||_{\rho} |\rho(t) - \rho(x)| + \rho(x) \left[1 + \frac{(\varphi(t) - \varphi(x))^2}{h^2} \right] \omega_{\varphi} \left(\frac{g}{\rho}, h \right)$$

we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad A_n(|g(t) - g(x)|, x) \leq \|g\|_{\rho} A_n(|\rho(t) - \rho(x)|, x) + \rho(x) A_n \varphi^0(x) \omega_{\varphi} \left(\frac{g}{\rho}, h\right) \\ + \rho(\eta_n) \frac{A_n([\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)]^2, x)}{h^2} \omega_{\varphi} \left(\frac{g}{\rho}, h\right).$$

In the case $x \in [\eta_n, z_n]$ we have

$$(2.9) |A_n h_n(x) - h_n(x)| \leq |h_n(x)| |A_n \varphi^0(x) - \varphi^0(x)| + A_n(|h_n(t) - h_n(x)|, x) \\ \leq |g(\eta_n)| |A_n \varphi^0(x) - \varphi^0(x)| + A_n(|h_n(t) - h_n(x)|, x) \\ \leq ||g||_{\rho} \rho(x) |A_n \varphi^0(x) - \varphi^0(x)| + A_n(|h_n(t) - h_n(x)|, x).$$

We estimate now the difference $|h_n(t) - h_n(x)|$. For $t \ge z_n$ we have

$$|h_n(t) - h_n(x)| = |h_n(x)| = \frac{\varphi(z_n) - \varphi(x)}{\varphi(z_n) - \varphi(\eta_n)} |g(\eta_n)| \le ||g||_{\rho} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta_n) |\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)|.$$

For $t \in [\eta_n, z_n]$ we have

$$|h_n(t) - h_n(x)| = \left| \frac{\varphi(z_n) - \varphi(t)}{\varphi(z_n) - \varphi(\eta_n)} g(\eta_n) - \frac{\varphi(z_n) - \varphi(x)}{\varphi(z_n) - \varphi(\eta_n)} g(\eta_n) \right| \le ||g||_\rho \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta_n) |\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)|.$$

For $t \leq \eta_n$, we use the relation (2.7) and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |h_{n}(t) - h_{n}(x)| &= \left| g(t) - \frac{\varphi(z_{n}) - \varphi(x)}{\varphi(z_{n}) - \varphi(\eta_{n})} g(\eta_{n}) \right| &\leq |g(t) - g(\eta_{n})| + |g(\eta_{n})| |\varphi(x) - \varphi(\eta_{n})| \\ &\leq ||g||_{\rho} |\rho(t) - \rho(\eta_{n})| + \rho(\eta_{n}) \left[1 + \frac{[\varphi(t) - \varphi(\eta_{n})]^{2}}{h^{2}} \right] \omega_{\varphi} \left(\frac{g}{\rho}, h \right) \\ &+ ||g||_{\rho} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta_{n}) |\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)| \\ &\leq ||g||_{\rho} |\rho(t) - \rho(x)| + \left[\rho(x) + \rho(\eta_{n}) \frac{[\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)]^{2}}{h^{2}} \right] \omega_{\varphi} \left(\frac{g}{\rho}, h \right) \\ &+ ||g||_{\rho} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta_{n}) |\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

We can deduce

$$A_{n}(|h_{n}(t) - h_{n}(x)|, x) \leq ||g||_{\rho} A_{n}(|\rho(t) - \rho(x)|, x) + \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta_{n}) ||g||_{\rho} A_{n}(|\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)|, x) + \left[\rho(x)A_{n}\varphi^{0}(x) + \rho(\eta_{n})\frac{A_{n}([\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)]^{2}, x)}{h^{2}}\right] \omega_{\varphi}\left(\frac{g}{\rho}, h\right).$$
(2.10)

To obtain the final result we use the relations

$$\begin{aligned} |A_n\rho(x) - \rho(x)| &\leq |A_n\varphi^0(x) - \varphi^0(x)| + |A_n\varphi^2(x) - \varphi^2(x)| \\ &\leq a_n + \rho(x)c_n, \\ A_n\rho(x) + \rho(x) &\leq |A_n\rho(x) - \rho(x)| + 2\rho(x) \\ &\leq \rho(x)(a_n + c_n + 2), \\ A_n\left([\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)]^2, x\right) &= A_n\varphi^2(x) - 2\varphi(x)A_n\varphi(x) + \varphi^2(x)A_n\varphi^0(x) \\ &= A_n\varphi^2(x) - \varphi^2(x) - 2\varphi(x)[A_n\varphi(x) - \varphi(x)] + \varphi^2(x)[A_n\varphi^0(x) - 1] \\ &\leq \rho(x)c_n + 2\varphi(x)\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)b_n + \varphi^2(x)a_n \\ &\leq \rho(x)\delta_n^2, \\ A_n(|\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)|, x) &\leq \sqrt{A_n([\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)]^2, x)}\sqrt{A_n\varphi^0(x)} \\ &\leq \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)\delta_n\sqrt{1 + a_n}, \\ A_n(|\rho(t) - \rho(x)|, x) &= A_n(|\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)| \cdot |\varphi(t) + \varphi(x)|, x) \\ &\leq \sqrt{A_n([\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)]^2, x)}\sqrt{A_n([\varphi(t) + \varphi(x)]^2, x)} \\ &\leq \rho(x)\delta_n\sqrt{\delta_n^2 + 4}. \end{aligned}$$

From the estimations (2.5), (2.6) and (2.9) we deduce

$$\frac{A_n(|h_n(t) - h_n(x)|, x)}{\rho(x)} \leq \|g\|_{\rho} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta_n) \delta_n \sqrt{1 + a_n} + \|g\|_{\rho} a_n + \|g\|_{\rho} \delta_n \sqrt{\delta_n^2 + 4} \\
+ \left(1 + a_n + \frac{\rho(\eta_n)\delta_n^2}{h^2}\right) \omega_{\varphi} \left(\frac{g}{\rho}, h\right) + 2r_n(1 + a_n + c_n).$$

Considering $h = \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta_n)\delta_n$ in the above inequality, the relations (2.2) and (2.4) give us

$$\frac{|A_n f(x) - f(x)|}{\rho(x)} \leq K_f(a_n + c_n) + ||g||_{\rho} \left[\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta_n)\delta_n\sqrt{1 + a_n} + a_n + \delta_n\sqrt{\delta_n^2 + 4}\right] \\ + (2 + a_n)\,\omega_{\varphi}\left(\frac{g}{\rho}, \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta_n)\delta_n\right) + 2r_n(3 + 2a_n + 2c_n).$$

Because $\omega_{\varphi}\left(\frac{f}{\rho},h\right) = \omega_{\varphi}\left(\frac{g}{\rho},h\right)$ and $\|g\|_{\rho} \le \|f\|_{\rho} + K_f$ we obtain the estimation

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_n f - f\|_{\rho} &\leq K_f(a_n + c_n) + (\|f\|_{\rho} + K_f) [\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta_n) \delta_n \sqrt{1 + a_n} + a_n + \delta_n \sqrt{\delta_n^2 + 4}] \\ &+ (2 + a_n) \, \omega_{\varphi} \left(\frac{f}{\rho}, \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta_n) \delta_n\right) + 2r_n (3 + 2a_n + 2c_n). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.1. The estimation (2.1) of $A_n f - f$ in ρ -norm is in terms of the sequences converging to 0: $a_n, b_n, c_n, \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta_n)\delta_n, \omega_{\varphi}\left(\frac{f}{\rho}, \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta_n)\delta_n\right)$ and r_n . Indeed, $\omega_{\varphi}\left(\frac{f}{\rho}, \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta_n)\delta_n\right)$ tends to 0, because f/ρ is uniformly continuous. Because $\eta_n \to \infty$ and because $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x)/\rho(x) = K_f$, we obtain $r_n = \sup_{x\geq\eta_n} |f(x)/\rho(x) - K_f| \to 0$.

Example 2.1. For $\varphi(x) = x$ and the Szasz-Mirakjan operators $M_n : C_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}_+) \to B_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ defined by

$$M_n f(x) = e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right),$$

which have the properties $M_n 1(x) = 1$, $M_n e_1(x) = x$ and $M_n e_2(x) = x^2 + x/n$ (so, $a_n = b_n = 0$ and $c_n = 1/(2n)$), we obtain for every function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ with $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{f(x)}{1+x^2} = K_f < \infty$ the estimation

$$\sup_{x \ge 0} \frac{|M_n f(x) - f(x)|}{1 + x^2} \le \frac{K_f}{2n} + (\|f\|_{\rho} + K_f) \left(\frac{3}{2\sqrt{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{1 + \eta_n^2}{2n}}\right) + 2\omega \left(\frac{f}{\rho}, \sqrt{\frac{1 + \eta_n^2}{2n}}\right) + 8 r_n,$$

where $\eta_n \to \infty$ and $r_n = \sup_{x \ge \eta_n} \left|\frac{f(x)}{1 + x^2} - K_f\right| \to 0.$

References

- A.D. Gadzhiev. On P.P. Korovkin type theorems. Math. Zamet., 20, 5: 781–786, 1976. Transl. in Math. Notes, 20, 5: 996–998, 1976.
- [2] A. Holhoş. Quantitative estimates for positive linear operators in weighted spaces. *General Mathematics*, (to appear).

TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF CLUJ-NAPOCA, C. DAICOVICIU, NR. 15, CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA *E-mail address*: adrian.holhos@math.utcluj.ro